MODERATE: Journal of Religious, Education, and Social
Vol.3, No.1 (November 2025): 66-85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.46362/moderate.v3i1.20

Kurban Anak dalam Kitab Imamat: Sebuah Studi Perbandingan dengan Praktik-Praktik Timur Dekat Kuno

Child Sacrifice in the Book of Leviticus: A Comparative Study with Ancient Near Eastern Practices

Yusak Tanasyah,[1] **Alexi E. George**[2]
Great Commission Theological Seminary, New York, USA[1]
Faith Theological Seminary, Manakala, India[2]
Email: ytanasyah@gmail.com[1]

Submit: 13 March 2024 I Revision: 18 April 2025 I Accepted: 14 May 2025 I Published: 13 October 2025

This work is licensed under
a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Abstrak: *Korban anak, sebuah ritual yang sangat mengganggu namun tersebar luas di Timur Dekat Kuno, merupakan salah satu kontras paling mencolok antara pemikiran keagamaan Israel dan pemikiran keagamaan pagan. Studi ini mengeksplorasi larangan korban anak dalam Imamat 18:21 dan 20:2-5 sebagai respons teologis dan etis terhadap kultus-kultus yang berpusat pada kematian di sekitar Israel kuno. Dengan menggunakan pendekatan komparatif-historis dan eksegetis, penelitian ini mengkaji bagaimana teks Imamat mendefinisikan ulang kekudusan, korban, dan keadilan ilahi dalam konteks Timur Dekat Kuno yang lebih luas. Temuan-temuan menunjukkan bahwa Imamat mengubah logika korban dari upaya menenangkan (appeasement) melalui pemusnahan menjadi persekutuan perjanjian yang berlandaskan kehidupan dan ketaatan. Pergeseran ini mengungkapkan sebuah revolusi moral dalam teologi biblika—di mana kekudusan bukanlah pemisahan untuk mendapatkan hak istimewa, melainkan partisipasi dalam keadilan Allah yang memberi kehidupan. Studi ini lebih lanjut berargumen bahwa penolakan Imamat terhadap penyembahan Molekh merupakan sebuah protes teologis yang abadi terhadap semua sistem, kuno maupun modern, yang mengeksploitasi atau menghancurkan kehidupan manusia demi keuntungan ideologis. Pada akhirnya, Imamat mengartikulasikan sebuah teologi kehidupan yang menyatukan penyembahan ilahi dengan perlindungan kemanusiaan, menawarkan sebuah visi profetik yang sangat relevan dengan dunia moral dan teknologi saat ini.*

Kontribusi: *Studi ini berkontribusi pada teologi biblika dengan menunjukkan bahwa Imamat mendefinisikan ulang logika korban kuno menjadi sebuah teologi moral tentang kehidupan, di mana kekudusan diekspresikan melalui keadilan, kasih sayang, dan pelestarian martabat manusia. Studi ini lebih lanjut menawarkan kerangka etis kontemporer yang menantang masyarakat modern untuk menolak setiap bentuk ideologi yang merendahkan manusia—para "Molekh modern"—dengan menegaskan kembali ikatan yang tak terpisahkan antara penyembahan ilahi dan kesakralan hidup.*

Kata Kunci: *Imamat; korban anak; Molekh; Timur Dekat Kuno; teologi biblika.*

Abstract: Child sacrifice, a deeply disturbing yet widespread ritual in the Ancient Near East, represents one of the most striking contrasts between Israelite and pagan religious thought. This study explores the prohibition of child sacrifice in *Leviticus* 18:21 and 20:2–5 as a theological and ethical response to the death-centered cults surrounding ancient Israel. Employing a comparative-historical and exegetical approach, this research examines how the Levitical text redefines holiness, sacrifice, and divine justice within the wider Ancient Near Eastern context. The findings demonstrate that Leviticus transforms the logic of sacrifice from appeasement by

destruction to covenantal communion grounded in life and obedience. This shift reveals a moral revolution in biblical theology—where holiness is not separation for privilege but participation in God's life-giving justice. The study further argues that Leviticus' rejection of Molech worship constitutes an enduring theological protest against all systems, ancient or modern, that exploit or destroy human life for ideological gain. Ultimately, Leviticus articulates a theology of life that unites divine worship with the protection of humanity, offering a prophetic vision profoundly relevant to today's moral and technological world.

Contribution: This study contributes to biblical theology by demonstrating that *Leviticus* redefines the ancient logic of sacrifice into a moral theology of life, where holiness is expressed through justice, compassion, and the preservation of human dignity. It further offers a contemporary ethical framework that challenges modern societies to resist every form of dehumanizing ideology—the "modern Molechs"—by reaffirming the inseparable bond between divine worship and the sanctity of life.

Keywords: *Leviticus; child sacrifice; Molech; Ancient Near East; biblical theology.*

INTRODUCTION

Child sacrifice was a deeply disturbing yet widespread religious act in the ancient world, practiced among several cultures of the Ancient Near East (ANE), including Canaanite, Phoenician, and Moabite societies. Archaeological findings, such as the Tophet of Carthage, suggest that some communities believed the offering of children could secure divine favor or avert catastrophe. These rituals, often associated with deities like Molech and Baal, represented an extreme expression of devotion grounded in the logic of reciprocity between humans and gods. Despite its religious motivation, ancient and modern observers have considered such acts ethically and theologically troubling, raising questions about the nature of divine justice and human piety. Within this cultural and moral tension, the Hebrew Bible's condemnation of child sacrifice stands out as both a critique of surrounding nations and a radical assertion of the sanctity of life (Schwartz, 2012, p. 10).

The Book of Leviticus explicitly prohibits child sacrifice, particularly offerings made "to Molech," describing them as profanations of God's holy name (Lev 18:21; 20:2-5). These injunctions occur within the Holiness Code, which redefines Israel's worship in moral and covenantal terms, contrasting it sharply with neighboring cultic practices. By forbidding the offering of children, Leviticus reframes sacrifice as an act of life-affirming holiness rather than death-dealing devotion. The prohibition establishes Yahweh not as a deity demanding appeasement through destruction but as one who sanctifies life and calls His people to moral distinctiveness. Thus, Leviticus' stance represents a theological

watershed in the ancient world—a divine rejection of ritual violence and a declaration that true worship upholds life, not death.

Scholars have long investigated the phenomenon of child sacrifice in the ANE through archaeology, anthropology, and comparative religion. Works by John Day (1989) and Jason Tatlock (2023) have provided extensive analyses of Molech worship and human sacrifice in surrounding cultures. Yet, most of these studies focus on historical reconstruction rather than theological interpretation, leaving the meaning of Leviticus' prohibition underexplored. The ethical and theological implications of this command are often treated as marginal to broader discussions of ancient ritual systems. Consequently, there remains a need to understand Leviticus' prohibition not merely as a cultural polemic but as a profound theological statement that redefines the meaning of sacrifice.

Recent archaeological and textual studies have illuminated the diversity of sacrificial practices in the ANE, but they rarely integrate exegetical and theological insights from the biblical text itself. For example, scholars such as Heath Dewrell (2017) and Marten Stol (2000) have emphasized the historical context of human sacrifice without connecting it to Israel's distinctive theology of holiness. Similarly, studies on moral impurity in the Hebrew Bible, like those of Jonathan Klawans (2011), focus on ritual categories but do not situate Leviticus within its intercultural polemic. This gap invites a comparative approach that reads Leviticus both as a product of its time and as a theological critique of its environment. Such a synthesis can reveal how Israel's rejection of child sacrifice articulates a unique vision of divine justice, covenant ethics, and life-centered holiness.

Building on this background, this study seeks to answer the following questions: (1) How was child sacrifice understood and practiced within the Ancient Near Eastern world? (2) What theological rationale underlies the Levitical prohibition against offering children to Molech? (3) In what ways does the Levitical text critique, reinterpret, or differentiate Israel's faith from the sacrificial ideologies of its surrounding cultures? By engaging these questions through a comparative-historical and exegetical framework, the study aims to situate Leviticus within both its ancient context and its enduring theological significance.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the theological and ethical distinctiveness of Leviticus' rejection of child sacrifice in light of Ancient Near Eastern practices. This research seeks to demonstrate that Leviticus transforms the concept of sacrifice from one

of destruction to one of sanctification and covenantal obedience. By situating the text within its cultural milieu, the study clarifies how Israel's God-centered holiness ethic redefined human-divine relations in contrast to neighboring religions. The significance of this research lies in its contribution to biblical theology and comparative religion, highlighting how the prohibition of child sacrifice expresses a unique moral theology rooted in divine ownership of life. Ultimately, this paper argues that the Levitical vision of holiness stands as a lasting theological critique of ritual violence and a profound affirmation of the sacredness of human life.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a comparative-historical method combined with exegetical analysis to explore the phenomenon of child sacrifice within its ancient cultural context and its theological reinterpretation in Leviticus. The comparative-historical approach allows for a careful juxtaposition of biblical data with archaeological, textual, and anthropological evidence from the Ancient Near East, illuminating shared concepts and distinctive theological developments (Schwartz, 2012, p. 10). By comparing Israel's holiness code with Canaanite, Phoenician, and Mesopotamian ritual practices, this method reveals both continuity in sacrificial symbolism and discontinuity in moral-theological orientation (Smith, 2002, p. 142). The historical dimension situates the Levitical text within a broader matrix of ancient religions, while avoiding anachronistic interpretations that could distort its meaning. This interdisciplinary perspective thus bridges the gap between ancient ritual realities and the canonical theological message of the Hebrew Bible.

The exegetical method underpins the theological analysis, focusing on key Hebrew terms such as *molekh*, *zara'*, and *'abar ba-'esh* to uncover the text's internal logic and moral intent (Milgrom, 2000, p. 1693). Through a close reading of Leviticus 18:21 and 20:2-5, the study identifies how the prohibition functions rhetorically and theologically within the Holiness Code to demarcate Israel's identity as a life-affirming community (Meyer, 2017, p. 139; Arbel et al., 2015, p. 27). The paper proceeds in six main sections: (1) an examination of the historical and religious background of child sacrifice in the ANE; (2) an exegetical study of Leviticus' relevant passages; (3) a comparative analysis highlighting theological distinctions; (4) a discussion of the ethical and theological implications; (5) a synthesis in conclusion. By integrating comparative-historical insights

with biblical exegesis, this research seeks to produce a holistic interpretation that respects both the ancient setting and the canonical integrity of Leviticus. Ultimately, the approach aims to demonstrate how Israel's rejection of child sacrifice articulates a radical theological innovation in the history of religion.

RESULTS

Historical and Religious Background of Child Sacrifice in the Ancient Near East

The phenomenon of child sacrifice was deeply embedded in several Ancient Near Eastern (ANE) cultures, particularly among the Canaanites, Phoenicians, and Moabites. Archaeological excavations at sites such as the Carthaginian Tophet have revealed urns containing the cremated remains of infants, interpreted by many scholars as evidence of ritualized sacrificial activity (Schwartz, 2012, p. 10). Glenn M. Schwartz notes that these deposits, often accompanied by votive inscriptions, point to a long-standing religious system that sought divine favor through costly offerings in times of national crisis (Schwartz, 2012, p. 10). Douglas C. Youvain provides a comprehensive synthesis of these developments, demonstrating that child sacrifice served as a trans-regional expression of extreme devotion among Mesopotamian and Levantine cultures (Yovan, 2024). While the extent of these practices remains debated, their presence across multiple regions indicates that the offering of children was seen as an ultimate act of piety and appeasement. This background illuminates the cultural environment from which Israel's distinct theological rejection of such rites would later emerge.

Within the ANE pantheon, several deities were connected with rituals of human or child sacrifice, the most notorious being Molech (or Milkom), Baal, and Chemosh. John Day's (1989) seminal study identifies Molech worship as a fire-based cultic practice involving the immolation of children to secure fertility or avert divine wrath. Moabite and Ammonite traditions show similar patterns, revealing a regional religious logic that equated the destruction of life with devotion and loyalty to the gods (Day, 1989). M. Weinfeld (1972, p. 133) adds that these rites reflected a theology of exchange—whereby the offerer sought divine reciprocity through the surrender of what was most valuable. Against this backdrop, the Levitical prohibition of sacrifice "to Molech" (Lev 18:21; 20:2-5) represents not merely a moral ban but a decisive theological demarcation between Yahweh's holiness and Canaanite ritual violence.

Ancient Near Eastern texts further corroborate the existence of child sacrifice as both a mythic and ritual motif. Ugaritic and Phoenician sources describe instances where kings or elites dedicated their firstborn children to deities in times of war or calamity, symbolizing the highest form of devotion (Garcia Martinez, 2002). Florentino García Martínez observes that certain Phoenician-Punic inscriptions use euphemistic language such as "substitution offerings," suggesting an attempt to sacralize what modern readers would consider horrific acts (Garcia Martinez, 2002). In Mesopotamian rituals, similar gestures appear as votive dedications of infants, though these may have been symbolic rather than literal sacrifices (Stol, 2000). These literary traces reflect a worldview in which divine favor was mediated through extreme human cost—a worldview that the Hebrew Scriptures would profoundly challenge through their theology of life and covenant.

Contemporary scholarship remains divided regarding the historical reality and scale of child sacrifice in the ANE. Laerke Recht (2019) argues that some archaeological findings, particularly at Carthage, may represent infant cemeteries rather than ritual killings, urging caution in overinterpreting the data. Conversely, Jason Tatlock (2023) and Daphna V. Arbel et al. (2015) maintain that textual and material evidence, when read together, supports the existence of genuine sacrificial rituals performed under exceptional religious circumstances. The debate highlights the methodological tension between archaeological skepticism and textual affirmation, revealing how the interpretation of ritual evidence is shaped by modern ethical sensitivities. Nevertheless, even if not universally practiced, the concept of offering one's child clearly occupied a powerful place in the ANE religious imagination, which the Levitical law explicitly confronts and condemns.

Within its historical context, child sacrifice functioned both as a religious and sociopolitical act aimed at securing divine favor and legitimizing authority. Rituals of this kind were often performed by kings or elites on behalf of the community, especially during times of military defeat, famine, or plague (Markl, 2019, p. 57). Dominik Markl notes that such acts symbolized ultimate devotion to the deity and were believed to restore cosmic order through costly substitution (Markl, 2019, p. 57). These ceremonies reinforced the hierarchical structure of ancient societies, where rulers mediated between the divine and the human through acts of sacrificial representation. In contrast, the Levitical tradition transforms sacrifice into a covenantal relationship grounded not in

destruction but in obedience and holiness—thus redefining the very meaning of devotion in the ancient world.

Child Sacrifice in the Book of Leviticus

The Literary and Theological Context of Leviticus

Leviticus is often misunderstood as a mere collection of ritual laws, yet its literary structure reveals a deeply theological vision that unites worship, ethics, and community life. The section known as the Holiness Code (Lev 17-26) serves as the theological climax of the book, redefining holiness (*qedushah*) not simply as cultic separation but as imitation of God's moral character (Milgrom, 2000). Jacob Milgrom argues that this portion of Leviticus transforms holiness from a priestly privilege into an ethical vocation shared by the entire nation (Milgrom, 2000). Within this framework, Israel's distinctiveness arises from moral conduct that mirrors God's own holiness rather than from ritual exclusivity. Therefore, the prohibition of child sacrifice in this context is not an isolated ban but a manifestation of a comprehensive theological program aimed at preserving life as sacred. This transformation parallels the broader biblical shift from literal firstborn sacrifice to symbolic dedication, a theological evolution noted by Gnanadas Danam (2018), who argues that Israel's theology of consecration redefines sacrifice as life offered in service, not in death.

The narrative flow of Leviticus 17-20 links ritual integrity with moral purity, creating a cohesive theology of life in contrast to the death-oriented cults of the surrounding nations. The placement of the Molech prohibitions (Lev 18:21; 20:2-5) amid sexual and ethical regulations signals that idolatry and immorality share a common root: the profanation of divine life (Milgrom, 2000, p. 1693). Baruch A. Levine highlights that this interweaving of moral and ritual commandments reflects a distinct Yahwistic worldview, where disobedience corrupts both the sanctuary and the social order (Levine, as cited in Milgrom, 2000). The recurring formula "I am the LORD your God" throughout this section anchors these laws in covenantal theology, emphasizing that moral conduct is an act of worship. Thus, Leviticus presents holiness as an integrated system that sanctifies every sphere of human existence under divine sovereignty.

Theologically, the Book of Leviticus contrasts Yahweh's holiness with the violent religious systems of the Ancient Near East, redefining sacrifice as an affirmation of life rather than a transaction of death. Christopher J. H. Wright (2004) observes that holiness

in Leviticus expresses God's redemptive intent—to draw humanity into communion rather than demand appeasement through destruction. The prohibition of child sacrifice to Molech therefore represents a decisive theological statement: Israel's God cannot be manipulated by ritual but invites moral obedience grounded in covenantal relationship. In this sense, the Holiness Code functions as both polemic and pedagogy, teaching Israel that genuine worship preserves life because life itself belongs to God. Hence, Leviticus stands as a theological counter-narrative to the death-centered religions of its time, affirming that divine holiness is inseparable from the sanctity of human existence.

Exegetical Analysis of Leviticus 18:21

Leviticus 18:21 declares, "You shall not give any of your seed to Molech, and so profane the name of your God: I am the LORD." The verse is strategically placed within a list of prohibitions against sexual immorality and idolatry, suggesting that the act of child sacrifice represents the culmination of moral and ritual corruption. Jacob Milgrom (2000, p. 1693) argues that the prohibition serves as a hinge between two categories of sin—sexual impurity and religious apostasy—both of which defile the land and desecrate God's holiness. The use of the Hebrew term *zara'* ("seed") underscores the covenantal dimension of the command, indicating that offspring are not merely biological products but symbols of divine promise and future generations (Milgrom, 2000, p. 1693). Thus, to "give one's seed to Molech" is not only to destroy life but also to break covenant continuity and deny God's ownership of creation.

The Hebrew verb *natan* ("to give") in the phrase *latet mizarekha la-Molekh* implies intentional dedication rather than accidental loss, confirming that the text envisions a ritual act of offering (Milgrom, 2000, p. 1693). John E. Hartley observes that the phrase "to pass through the fire" (cf. Deut 18:10; 2 Kgs 23:10) is a technical idiom for child immolation associated with Canaanite worship practices (as cited in Milgrom, 2000). The juxtaposition of this command with injunctions against incest and bestiality in Leviticus 18 demonstrates that idolatry and sexual deviance are both distortions of divine order. Gordon J. Wenham notes that, in Hebrew thought, defilement of the body and the land are interrelated—each act of moral pollution symbolically drives God's presence away (as cited in Wright, 2004). Consequently, the Molech prohibition functions not only as a rejection of foreign cults but as a safeguard of God's dwelling among His people.

From a theological perspective, Leviticus 18:21 reveals a profound link between worship and the sanctity of life. The commandment's closing clause, "so as not to profane the name of your God," situates ethical obedience within a theology of divine reputation—God's name represents His revealed character and covenant fidelity (Wright, 2004). To participate in Molech worship would thus distort Israel's witness to the nations, portraying Yahweh as one who demands the destruction of His own image-bearers. Christopher J. H. Wright (2004) interprets this prohibition as a moral boundary that redefines sacrifice from manipulation to consecration, asserting that true devotion affirms life rather than consumes it. In this way, Leviticus 18:21 stands as a theological pivot point, redirecting Israel's worship toward a God whose holiness is expressed through the preservation, not the annihilation, of human life.

The Legal and Ethical Dimensions in Leviticus 20:2-5

Leviticus 20:2-5 expands the earlier prohibition of Molech worship from Leviticus 18:21 into a formal legal framework, prescribing severe communal and divine sanctions for violators. The text mandates capital punishment—"the people of the land shall stone him with stones"—and further threatens divine exclusion for those who tolerate the act. Jacob Milgrom (2000) emphasizes that this passage transforms moral exhortation into juridical obligation, establishing the prohibition as a matter of covenantal law rather than private devotion. The inclusion of the community in the execution of justice reflects a theology of collective responsibility, where holiness is a shared vocation requiring corporate vigilance. In this way, Leviticus constructs a moral society that defends life by rejecting complicity with death-centered worship.

The legal vocabulary of this section reveals a profound interdependence between human justice and divine holiness. The phrase "I will set my face against that man and cut him off from among his people" (Lev 20:3) articulates a dual sanction—both social and theological—against the transgressor. Baruch A. Levine notes that the idiom "to set one's face against" signifies divine opposition that manifests through both temporal judgment and spiritual separation (as cited in Milgrom, 2000). By defiling the sanctuary and profaning God's name, the offender disrupts the covenantal order that binds the community to God's presence. Thus, the legal command does not merely regulate behavior; it safeguards the relational fabric between Yahweh and His people.

Leviticus 20:2-5 presents a moral logic that intertwines holiness, justice, and the sanctity of life. Christopher J. H. Wright (2004) argues that this legal measure reveals Israel's distinctive ethic: the holiness of God requires the protection of life as its foremost expression. The threat of divine retribution against those who "close their eyes" to Molech worship (v. 4) underscores that neutrality toward evil is itself a violation of covenant fidelity. Gordon Wenham observes that the legal response to child sacrifice represents not mere deterrence but moral pedagogy, shaping Israel into a community that mirrors divine justice (as cited in Wright, 2004). Hence, this law functions as both deterrent and declaration—affirming that God's people must not only avoid sin but actively oppose the structures of death that corrupt the covenant community.

The Concept of Molech and Its Theological Implications

The identity of Molech has long been one of the most debated issues in biblical scholarship. Some scholars interpret Molech as the name of a Canaanite deity who demanded the sacrifice of children, while others argue that the term refers to a type of votive offering (*mlk*-offering) rather than a divine being (Day, 1989). John Day's (1989) comprehensive analysis supports the former, identifying Molech as a god associated with fire rituals and fertility cults in the Ammonite and Phoenician traditions. Linguistically, the name appears to derive from the root *mlk*, meaning "king," which may reflect the title of a deity linked with royal authority and divine sovereignty (Day, 1989). Regardless of whether Molech was a specific god or a sacrificial rite, Leviticus treats the practice as the antithesis of Yahwistic worship—an abomination that desecrates the holiness of God's name.

In theological terms, the condemnation of Molech worship in Leviticus articulates a profound contrast between Yahweh's character and the deities of the surrounding cultures. The fiery rituals associated with Molech embody a theology of appeasement, where divine favor is secured through destruction and suffering. By forbidding such offerings, Leviticus redefines the meaning of sacrifice: not an act to manipulate divinity, but a covenantal gesture that expresses trust in God's life-giving holiness (Wright, 2004). Christopher J. H. Wright emphasizes that this polemic reveals Yahweh's moral distinctiveness—He is not a deity who devours His worshipers, but one who preserves them in covenantal love (Wright, 2004). Thus, the rejection of Molech worship functions as both a critique of pagan religiosity and a revelation of God's redemptive identity.

Beyond its ancient context, the concept of Molech carries enduring theological implications as a symbol of idolatrous systems that demand human life in exchange for perceived prosperity or security. Gordon J. Wenham suggests that the Molech cult can be understood as a paradigm for any ideology that subordinates the sanctity of life to power (as cited in Wright, 2004). Modern interpreters have drawn analogies between this ancient ritual and contemporary social structures that commodity human existence—whether through economic exploitation, political violence, or technological domination (Arbel et al., 2015, p. 223). In this light, Leviticus' prohibition becomes a timeless call to resist all forms of "modern Molech," affirming that true worship of God safeguards rather than sacrifices human life. Hence, the theology of Leviticus transcends its historical setting, speaking prophetically to every age that forgets the sacred value of life in pursuit of divine or ideological control.

The Broader Theological Significance

The prohibition of child sacrifice in Leviticus encapsulates a radical theological shift within the ancient religious world: a move from a sacrificial economy of death to a covenantal theology of life. By forbidding the offering of children to Molech, the text redefines worship not as manipulation of the divine but as moral obedience to a holy and life-giving God (Brueggemann, 2012, p. 195). Jacob Milgrom (2000) highlights that Leviticus transforms the notion of holiness from cultic exclusivity to ethical vitality, insisting that every act of worship must affirm life rather than extinguish it. In this way, the Holiness Code introduces a new moral grammar in the history of religion—one in which divine pleasure is found not in destruction, but in justice, mercy, and compassion. The text thus stands as a theological declaration that Yahweh's holiness is synonymous with the sanctity of human life.

From a canonical perspective, the Levitical prohibition anticipates later prophetic critiques against child sacrifice found in Jeremiah (7:31; 19:5) and Ezekiel (16:20-21), revealing continuity in Israel's developing theology of holiness. These texts reaffirm that the God of Israel cannot be appeased through the shedding of innocent blood, because divine holiness is inherently life-giving (Wright, 2004). Christopher J. H. Wright interprets this as a "moral revelation," in which God progressively unveils His character through prohibitions that elevate ethical consciousness (Wright, 2004). The command's integration of worship, ethics, and community identity sets the theological foundation for

later biblical covenants, particularly the prophetic insistence on mercy over sacrifice (Hos 6:6). Consequently, Leviticus 18 and 20 do not simply legislate morality—they articulate a theology of divine-human relationship grounded in life, justice, and holiness.

In contemporary reflection, the Levitical vision challenges modern societies to resist every ideology or system that sacrifices human life on the altars of power, progress, or profit. As Daphna Arbel notes, ancient Molech worship finds disturbing parallels in modern forms of "sacrificial economics," where the vulnerable are consumed by systemic injustice (Arbel et al., 2015, p. 223). The prohibition of child sacrifice thus transcends its historical context to become a prophetic voice against all manifestations of dehumanization. It calls theologians and faith communities to reclaim holiness as a public ethic—an orientation toward life, dignity, and restorative justice (Brueggemann, 2012, p. 195). Leviticus' theology of life asserts that true worship of God is inseparable from the protection of humanity, for to honor the Creator is to preserve His image in every human being.

DISCUSSION

Comparative Analysis: Leviticus and Ancient Near Eastern Practices

Conceptual Similarities in Sacrificial Logic

Sacrifice in both the Ancient Near East (ANE) and Israel served as a primary means of establishing and maintaining divine favor. In Canaanite and Phoenician cultures, the offering of children symbolized ultimate devotion, particularly in moments of crisis such as famine or war (Schwartz, 2012, p. 8). Archaeological evidence from Carthage and textual parallels from Ugarit reveal a ritual logic where the life of the firstborn was exchanged for divine protection (Arbel et al., 2015, p. 41). Similarly, Leviticus recognizes sacrifice as a vital expression of covenantal relationship, though its intent differs fundamentally from the transactional framework of ANE religion. Both systems share the concept of mediation through offering, yet diverge sharply in how they understand the divine-human dynamic. Recent comparative research by Lumingkewas (2025, p. 38) similarly argues that the Akedah narrative itself functions as a theological critique of child sacrifice, reframing obedience as trust rather than ritual violence.

Theologically, this comparison highlights that while ANE sacrifices operated on a principle of appeasement, Levitical worship redefines sacrifice as an act of communion rooted in God's holiness. Milgrom (2000) argues that Israel's sacrificial system reflects

divine initiative rather than human coercion, where grace precedes ritual obligation. Instead of appeasing capricious gods, Leviticus emphasizes obedience, repentance, and moral transformation as the true expressions of worship. In doing so, it transforms sacrifice from a pragmatic exchange into a relational covenant grounded in holiness. Thus, Leviticus critiques the ancient religious logic by subordinating ritual to ethics and life.

The Distinctiveness of Levitical Holiness

Holiness in the ANE often denoted separation or proximity to the sacred, associated with divine power or danger rather than moral character. Smith (2002) notes that Mesopotamian and Canaanite conceptions of holiness emphasized ritual purity and cosmic hierarchy over ethical conduct. In contrast, Leviticus defines holiness as imitation of God's moral nature—"Be holy, for I the LORD your God am holy" (Lev 19:2). This command introduces a radical ethical monotheism, where moral responsibility replaces ritual manipulation as the foundation of holiness. The shift marks Israel's theological distinctiveness amid the ANE's sacral economy.

From a theological standpoint, the holiness of Leviticus reveals a God whose presence sanctifies life rather than threatens it. Wright (2004) observes that holiness here is not separation for privilege but consecration for service to the world. In contrast to the ANE's "restricted holiness," Yahweh's holiness is inclusive, ethical, and life-giving. By rejecting violence and idolatry, Israel's holiness code functions as both resistance to pagan religiosity and affirmation of divine compassion. Therefore, Leviticus redefines holiness as relational fidelity, transforming worship into moral witness.

The Role of the Community in Maintaining Holiness

In ANE societies, sacrificial and purification rites were typically administered by kings or priests as mediators between gods and people. This concentration of sacred authority reinforced hierarchical structures where the divine was accessible only through political-religious elites. In contrast, Leviticus democratizes holiness by assigning moral responsibility to the entire covenant community. The command in Leviticus 20:2-5 that "the people of the land shall stone him" underscores communal accountability for maintaining divine order. Holiness thus becomes not merely a priestly concern but a collective vocation.

Theologically, this communal dimension of holiness reflects Israel's distinctive anthropology. Levine explains that Leviticus constructs a society where each individual's moral failure endangers the sanctity of the whole, making ethical vigilance a communal act of worship (as cited in Milgrom, 2000). In contrast to the ANE's royal theology, Israel's covenant community is a moral priesthood called to embody divine justice. This communal ethic transforms holiness into social responsibility and worship into justice. In essence, Leviticus replaces hierarchy with covenantal solidarity, affirming that holiness is sustained through shared moral life.

The Ethical Transformation of Sacrifice

In the ANE, the logic of sacrifice was often driven by fear and necessity—gods were thought to demand blood to restore balance or favor. The Levitical system, however, rejects the idea that death can produce divine satisfaction, grounding atonement in repentance and substitution rather than destruction. Wenham points out that the symbolic transfer of sin in animal sacrifice serves to preserve life, not extinguish it, reversing the death-centered economy of pagan rituals (as cited in Wright, 2004). This theological inversion reveals a profound ethical shift: sacrifice becomes life-affirming rather than life-taking. Through this transformation, Leviticus establishes the sanctity of life as the moral axis of worship.

Ethically, this reform redefines devotion as moral obedience rather than ritual transaction. Wright (2004) emphasizes that in Leviticus, sacrifice points beyond itself to covenantal integrity—obedience, compassion, and justice are the true offerings God desires. In contrast to ANE rites rooted in violence, Levitical worship expresses divine mercy through symbolic substitution. This evolution marks the birth of a moral theology of worship where holiness is realized through ethical praxis. Hence, Leviticus transforms the sacrificial imagination of the ancient world into a theology of life and moral renewal.

Theological Synthesis: Life, Covenant, and Justice

The comparison between Leviticus and ANE practices culminates in a theological synthesis centered on the sanctity of life. Where ANE religion equated divine favor with costly destruction, Leviticus declares that true worship upholds creation and preserves human dignity. The covenant framework transforms sacrifice into an act of love, expressing trust in God's sustaining grace rather than appeasement through fear.

Brueggemann (2012) notes that this reorientation turns Israel's religion from transactional to testimonial—faith becomes witness to divine justice. Thus, Leviticus recasts worship as ethical participation in God's life-giving holiness.

Theologically, this synthesis articulates a universal principle: life belongs to God and must therefore be protected, not consumed. By connecting holiness with justice, Leviticus provides a moral foundation that transcends its historical setting, informing modern theological ethics. Arbel (2015) suggests that the Levitical critique of sacrificial violence remains a prophetic voice against every social system that commodifies human life. In this sense, Leviticus is not an archaic law but an enduring revelation of divine compassion. It invites humanity to reject the gods of death and to live within the covenant of life that mirrors God's own holiness.

Theological and Ethical Implications

The Sanctity of Life as Theological Foundation

The prohibition of child sacrifice in Leviticus establishes the sanctity of life as a central theological tenet of Israel's covenant faith. Unlike the surrounding cultures that viewed life as expendable in pursuit of divine favor, Leviticus asserts that all life belongs to God and must therefore be preserved (Milgrom, 2000). Milgrom emphasizes that the Holiness Code's ethical structure rests on the conviction that the God of Israel is the giver, not the destroyer, of life (Milgrom, 2000). By protecting children—the most vulnerable members of society—Leviticus articulates a theology of divine compassion intertwined with moral obligation. This theology transforms religious devotion into a moral act of safeguarding creation.

Theologically, this emphasis on life forms the foundation for later biblical ethics, influencing prophetic, wisdom, and New Testament traditions. As Garroway (2025) observes, the biblical concern for children reflects not merely emotional empathy but a theological assertion of their inherent personhood within the covenant community. Wright (2004) observes that Israel's moral consciousness emerges from this affirmation that holiness and life are inseparable realities. Thus, the prohibition of human sacrifice becomes not a ritual command alone but a declaration about the nature of God Himself. To honor life is to participate in divine holiness, while to destroy it is to commit theological treason. In this light, Leviticus stands as a perpetual witness against any ideology that diminishes the sacred worth of human existence.

Holiness and Moral Responsibility

Leviticus portrays holiness not as ritual isolation but as ethical responsibility within community life. By situating the Molech prohibitions amidst commands on sexuality, justice, and social conduct (Lev 18-20), the text reveals that holiness manifests through moral integrity (Wright, 2004). Wenham argues that this ethical integration marks a decisive theological development where sacredness becomes inseparable from justice and compassion (as cited in Wright, 2004). The holiness of God demands moral reflection, calling believers to embody divine character in practical relationships. Hence, holiness becomes the moral grammar of covenant existence.

Ethically, this vision of holiness challenges any dichotomy between worship and ethics. Brueggemann (2012) notes that Leviticus reframes holiness as "the practice of justice in the presence of God," redefining piety as social responsibility. In rejecting child sacrifice, Israel rejects not only idolatry but also a worldview that commodifies life. The community's obedience to this law thus becomes both spiritual and ethical protest against systems of oppression. Consequently, holiness in Leviticus represents a lived theology that unites worship, ethics, and justice into one coherent moral vision.

The Responsibility of the Community

The communal enforcement of the Molech prohibition (Lev 20:2-5) underscores that holiness is not an individual virtue but a shared moral vocation. In the ANE, kings or priests often bore responsibility for maintaining divine favor, but Leviticus distributes this task to "the people of the land." Milgrom (2000) explains that this decentralization of holiness signifies the democratization of ethical accountability within Israel's covenant structure. The people are called not only to avoid evil but to confront and expel it from their midst. Thus, holiness is sustained through corporate vigilance and moral solidarity.

Theologically, this collective responsibility prefigures the New Testament concept of the Church as a "holy nation" (1 Pet 2:9), where moral purity is sustained through mutual accountability. Wright (2004) emphasizes that Leviticus models a form of "social holiness" in which community life becomes the arena for divine revelation. This communal dimension of ethics transforms holiness from private piety into public witness. By engaging in moral discernment together, the covenant people participate in God's ongoing work of sanctification. Leviticus offers a theological framework for understanding holiness as communal transformation, not individual achievement.

Divine Justice and Human Ethics

The Molech prohibitions reveal that divine justice operates as a moral boundary protecting the innocent and the vulnerable. By condemning those who sacrifice children or ignore such acts, Leviticus aligns divine judgment with ethical accountability. Levine notes that God's "setting His face against" the offender reflects a moral universe governed by relational fidelity rather than arbitrary decree (as cited in Milgrom, 2000). The divine response is not vindictive but restorative, aimed at purging the community from corruption and restoring covenantal order. Thus, divine justice is simultaneously punitive and redemptive.

From an ethical standpoint, this theology invites reflection on the relationship between divine holiness and human justice. Brueggemann (2012) argues that biblical justice is covenantal—its purpose is not mere retribution but the restoration of right relationships among God, humanity, and creation. Leviticus thus presents law as theology: obedience becomes an act of solidarity with divine righteousness. By grounding ethics in God's own moral nature, Leviticus offers a transcendent basis for human rights and justice. Therefore, divine holiness in Leviticus stands as both the source and standard of moral life.

Contemporary Theological Reflection

In modern contexts, the Levitical rejection of child sacrifice challenges societies that perpetuate violence, exploitation, and systemic dehumanization. Arbel (2015) suggests that ancient Molech worship serves as a metaphor for any ideology that demands human life—whether through war, poverty, or technological dominance. The prophetic voice of Leviticus thus transcends its ancient setting, calling contemporary communities to resist all "modern Molechs" that commodify humanity. As Wenham notes, holiness in Leviticus remains profoundly relevant because it situates worship within ethical responsibility to life and justice (as cited in Wright, 2004). Therefore, Leviticus provides a timeless theological critique of any culture that privileges power over compassion.

Ethically, this Levitical vision invites theologians to reimagine holiness as public virtue—a call to justice, mercy, and protection of life in every societal domain. Wright (2004) emphasizes that the moral trajectory of Leviticus culminates in a theology of life that confronts both idolatry and injustice in contemporary forms. The text's ancient polemic against Molech becomes a paradigm for Christian ethics today: holiness means

choosing life in defiance of systems of death. Thus, the book of Leviticus continues to summon humanity to participate in God's life-giving holiness through acts of justice and compassion. In this enduring sense, Leviticus remains a prophetic text for the modern world.

CONCLUSION

The study of child sacrifice in the Book of Leviticus, viewed in comparison with Ancient Near Eastern practices, reveals a profound theological transformation in the understanding of worship, holiness, and life. While the surrounding cultures practiced human sacrifice to secure divine favor, Leviticus redefines devotion as ethical obedience rooted in covenantal holiness. The prohibition against Molech worship does not merely express moral disgust but articulates a comprehensive theology of life grounded in God's character. Through this prohibition, Israel's faith distinguishes itself by locating divine pleasure not in death or destruction, but in justice, mercy, and the preservation of life. Thus, Leviticus serves as a theological watershed, transforming the ancient logic of sacrifice into a redemptive vision of holiness.

Theologically and ethically, the implications of Leviticus extend far beyond its historical context, offering a moral framework for contemporary societies confronting their own "modern Molechs." Its call to protect life, pursue justice, and reject idolatrous systems of violence remains a timeless expression of divine holiness in human form. As Wright (2004) argues, the holiness of God is not an abstract ideal but a living ethic that demands justice and compassion in every generation. Therefore, the Book of Leviticus stands as both ancient legislation and enduring revelation, summoning humanity to align worship with life-affirming morality. In the end, Leviticus teaches that true holiness is found not in the shedding of blood, but in the preservation of life—for to be holy is to live as God lives, giving life rather than taking it.

REFERENCES

Arbel, V. D., Burns, P. C., Cousland, J. R. C., Menkis, R., & Neufeld, D. (Eds.). (2015). *Not sparing the child: Human sacrifice in the ancient world and beyond*. Bloomsbury T&T Clark.

Brueggemann, W. (2012). *Theology of the Old Testament: Testimony, dispute, advocacy*. Fortress Press.

Danam, G. (2018). *The sacrifice of the firstborn in the Hebrew Bible* [Thesis, Department of Philosophy, University of Sheffield].

Day, J. (1989). *Molech: A god of human sacrifice in the Old Testament*. Cambridge University Press.

Dewrell, H. D. (2017). *Child sacrifice in ancient Israel*. Eisenbrauns.

García Martínez, F. (2002). The sacrifice of Isaac in 4Q225. In *The sacrifice of Isaac* (pp. 44–57). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004497320_008

Garroway, K. H. (2025). The death of children in the Hebrew Bible: Child sacrifice, personhood, and Judaite ideals. In *The dying child: The death and personhood of children in ancient Israel* (pp. 153–178). Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/9780197566718.003.0008

Klawans, J. (2011). Ritual and moral impurity in the Hebrew Bible. In *Impurity and sin in ancient Judaism* (pp. 21–42). Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195132908.003.0002

Lumingkewas, M. S. (2025). The binding of Isaac and child sacrifice in the ancient Near East: A comparative analysis. *Indonesian Journal of Religious Studies, 8*(1), 38–48. https://doi.org/10.46362/ijrv8i1.62

Markl, D. (2019). Polemics against child sacrifice in Deuteronomy and the Deuteronomistic History. In *Intolerance, polemics, and debate in antiquity* (pp. 57–91). Brill.

Meyer, E. E. (2017). Ritual innovation in Numbers 18? *Scriptura, 116*, 133–147. https://doi.org/10.7833/116-2-1322

Milgrom, J. (2000). *Leviticus 17–22: A new translation with introduction and commentary*. Doubleday.

Recht, L. (2019). *Human sacrifice: Archaeological perspectives from around the world*. Cambridge University Press.

Schwartz, G. M. (2012). Archaeology and sacrifice. In A. Porter & G. M. Schwartz (Eds.), *Sacred killing: The archaeology of sacrifice in the ancient Near East* (pp. 1–32). Eisenbrauns. https://dx.doi.org/10.5325/j.ctv1bxgxm5.5

Smith, M. S. (2002). *The early history of God: Yahweh and the other deities in ancient Israel*. William B. Eerdmans.

Stol, M. (2000). *Birth in Babylonia and the Bible: Its Mediterranean setting*. Brill.

Tatlock, J. (2023). Child sacrifice in the ancient Near and Middle East. *Oxford Bibliographies*. https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199791231-0272

Weinfeld, M. (1972). The worship of Molech and of the Queen of Heaven and its background. *Ugarit-Forschungen, 4*, 133–154.

Wright, C. J. H. (2004). *Old Testament ethics for the people of God*. IVP Academic.

Yovan, D. C. (2024, August). Child sacrifice in ancient religions: A historical examination of deities and rituals. *ResearchGate*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.17157.56806